

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,296	09/20/2001	Gregory J. Ward	12598.0074.CPUS00/LUD	2155
759	90 07/21/2003	•		
HOWREY LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 4433 Houston, TX 7	7210-4433		MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1764	9
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/964,296 WARD ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Virginia Manoharan	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
· —	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the ments is , 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application is/are withdra							
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,and 9-11</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		. •					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement						
Application Papers	or orongen roquing						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disap _[proved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	kaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documen							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		"					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been r	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftspërson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					
C Potent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/964,296

Art Unit: 1764

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. The phrase "at least about" in claims 9-11 is indefinite because there is no indication as to what range of specific activity is covered by the term "about" especially since the specification fails to ascertain the range covered with the recitation of "preferably about 99.5% by weight and more preferably about 99.7% by weight of acrylonitrile.. See 10 USPQ 2d 1016 (Fed. Cir, 1991).
- b. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships between the claimed elements, e.g., the structural connection (s) of the reactor and columns relative to one another. See MPEP § 2172.01.
- c. In claim 6, line 1, the term "wherein" should be inserted before "said acrylonitrile".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lovett in view of Barrel.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,296

Art Unit: 1764

The above references are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at pages 3-4 of the previous Office action.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As admitted by applicant "... The Lovett '064 patent does suggest at column 7, lines 57-59 that it is possible to operate the recovery column and stripper column as one large column, as disclosed in U. S. Patent No. 3,399,120 in Figure 2". Applicant's argument that "..referring to Figure 2 of the Lovett '120 patent, it can be seen that this process utilizes an enriching column 27. See Lovett '120 at column 5, lines 43-70 ..." is not persuasive of patentability for the following reasons:

However, with or without an eriching column, the same result is achieved, i.e., the acrylonitrile is recovered.

Nonetheless, by now it is well-settled that omission of an element and its function in a combination is an obvious expedient when its function was not desired; and the remaining and elements perform the same function(s) as before. <u>In re Karlson</u>, 136 USPQ 184,.

Thus, in the absence of any showing of unexpected result flowing from said omission, a prima facie case of obviousness is deemed reasonably established by the art and has not been rebutted.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,296

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 703-308-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9462 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

V. Manoharan/mn July 18, 2003

MARY EXAMINATION TO THE ART UNIT 12 / 764